

STATE OF IOWA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UTILITIES BOARD

IN RE:

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
L.P.,

Complainant,

vs.

DANVILLE MUTUAL TELEPHONE
COMPANY; DIXON TELEPHONE
COMPANY; READLYN TELEPHONE
COMPANY; VAN HORNE
COOPERATIVE TELEPHONE
COMPANY; WELLMAN COOPERATIVE
TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION; MTC
TECHNOLOGIES; NORTHERN IOWA
TELEPHONE COMPANY; WEBB-
DICKENS TELEPHONE CORPORATION;
MUTUAL TELEPHONE COMPANY;
CENTRAL UTAH COMMUNICATIONS,
d/b/a WRLD ALLIANCE; AND ZONE
TELECOM, INC.,

Respondents.

DOCKET NO. FCU-07-11

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR LIMITED EXTENSION OF TIME

(Issued May 23, 2008)

On May 15, 2008, Sprint Communications Company L.P. (Sprint) filed with the Utilities Board (Board) a motion for an extension of time of the entire procedural schedule in this case. Sprint states that despite its attempts to move as promptly as possible to prepare its case and meet the Board's established procedural schedule,

new delays have arisen, several of which were beyond the parties' control, which require an extension of the procedural schedule. Sprint asks the Board delay the procedural schedule at least one additional month to accommodate Sprint.

On May 1, 2008, the Board issued an order granting a motion to extend the procedural schedule in this proceeding, which was filed by Sprint on April 15, 2008. In that order, the Board articulated its concern that Sprint has not been paying the access charges assessed by the Respondent local exchange carriers during the course of this proceeding. The Board remains concerned about Sprint's non-payment of access charges and finds that delay of a full month could be prejudicial to the Respondents. Nevertheless, the Board recognizes that there have been some delays in the discovery process that make some relief appropriate. Therefore, the Board will grant Sprint three additional weeks to file its initial testimony. The Board will also extend the date for the Respondents to file their rebuttal testimony by three weeks. All other dates established in the current procedural schedule will remain unchanged. An amended procedural schedule will be established accordingly.

The Board notes that based on the extensions provided and the constraints that they impose on the procedural schedule in this case, the Board may not be able to accommodate any other requests for extensions. Therefore, the Board encourages the participants in this matter to proceed in this case within the framework of this established procedural schedule.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. The motion for extension of time filed by Sprint Communications Company L.P. on May 15, 2008, is granted in part.

2. The procedural schedule in this docket is amended to reflect the following changes:

a. Sprint Communications Company L.P. and any intervenors aligned with Sprint shall file prepared direct testimony, with supporting exhibits and workpapers, on or before June 30, 2008.

b. Respondents and any intervenors aligned with them shall file rebuttal testimony, with supporting exhibits and workpapers, on or before August 18, 2008.

3. All other provisions of the established procedural schedule remain unchanged.

UTILITIES BOARD

/s/ John R. Norris

/s/ Krista K. Tanner

ATTEST:

/s/ Judi K. Cooper
Executive Secretary

/s/ Darrell Hanson

Dated at Des Moines, Iowa, this 23rd day of May, 2008.