

STATE OF IOWA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UTILITIES BOARD

IN RE: CITY OF EVERLY, IOWA, Petitioner, v. INTERSTATE POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY, Respondent;	DOCKET NO. SPU-06-5
CITY OF KALONA, IOWA, Petitioner, v. INTERSTATE POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY, Respondent;	DOCKET NO. SPU-06-6
CITY OF ROLFE, IOWA, Petitioner, v. INTERSTATE POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY, Respondent;	DOCKET NO. SPU-06-7
CITY OF TERRIL, IOWA, Petitioner, v. INTERSTATE POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY, Respondent;	DOCKET NO. SPU-06-8
CITY OF WELLMAN, IOWA, Petitioner, v. INTERSTATE POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY, Respondent.	DOCKET NO. SPU-06-10

ORDER REQUIRING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

(Issued June 29, 2007)

On June 6, 2006, the cities of Everly, Kalona, Rolfe, Terril, and Wellman, Iowa (collectively, Cities), each filed with the Utilities Board (Board) a petition requesting a certificate of authority to furnish electric service to the existing point of delivery of

customers already receiving service from another electric utility. These filings, commonly referred to as municipalization proceedings, are identified as Docket Nos. SPU-06-5, SPU-06-6, SPU-06-7, SPU-06-8, and SPU-06-10. Each of the Cities is an Iowa municipal corporation presently receiving electric service from Interstate Power and Light Company (IPL). IPL owns the majority of the electric distribution system within each of the Cities.

The Cities have filed prefiled testimony. In reviewing the Cities' testimony, the Board requires additional information regarding Schedules D and E (and any associated schedules) used by witnesses Latham and Ervin. In particular, the Board wants the Cities to provide additional information regarding the individual scenarios for each city using various assumptions. Because the additional information requires changes to various assumptions used in schedules, the Board will require that the additional information be provided now, rather than at the hearing. Requiring that the information be filed now will allow all parties an opportunity to review the additional information prior to hearing. The Cities will be required to provide the following information within ten days of the date of this order:

Provide the following individual scenarios for each city:

1. Modify the replacement cost present value analysis provided in Schedule D and any associated schedules, by replacing the Cities' inventory valuation for each city with the valuation provided by IPL in its direct testimony. This valuation should be the total that IPL believes each city's system is worth as shown below.

Everly - \$1,366,058
Kalona - \$3,125,095

Rolfe - \$1,102,451
Terril - \$693,968
Wellman - \$1,794,844

2. In addition to the changes made in number one above, modify Schedule E and any associated schedules by replacing the energy component used in Schedule E with \$0.025/kWh and the transmission component used in Schedule E with \$0.010/kWh.

3. In addition to the changes made in number one above, modify Schedule E and any associated schedules by replacing the energy component used in Schedule E with \$0.030/kWh and the transmission component used in Schedule E with \$0.010/kWh.

4. In addition to the changes made in number one above, modify Schedule E and any associated schedules by replacing the energy component used in Schedule E with \$0.035/kWh and the transmission component used in Schedule E with \$0.010/kWh.

5. In addition to the changes made in number one above, modify Schedule E and any associated schedules by replacing the energy component used in Schedule E with \$0.040/kWh and the transmission component used in Schedule E with \$0.010/kWh.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

The Cities shall file the additional information identified in this order within ten days of the date of this order.

UTILITIES BOARD

/s/ John R. Norris

/s/ Curtis W. Stamp

ATTEST:

/s/ Sharon Mayer
Executive Secretary, Assistant to

/s/ Krista K. Tanner

Dated at Des Moines, Iowa, this 29th day of June, 2007.