

STATE OF IOWA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UTILITIES BOARD

IN RE: INTERSTATE POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY	DOCKET NO. TF-06-336
---	----------------------

ORDER ESTABLISHING BRIEFING SCHEDULE

(Issued April 27, 2007)

On December 26, 2006, Interstate Power and Light Company (IPL) filed with the Utilities Board (Board) a proposed consolidated electric "Standby and Supplementary Power Service" tariff (Standby Tariff), identified as TF-06-336. IPL filed revisions to the proposed tariff on January 3, 2007. The Board, in its "Final Decision and Order" issued on April 28, 2006, in Docket No. RPU-05-3, ordered IPL to file a new proposed Standby Tariff in its next equalization filing or as a separate filing.

The Iowa Consumers Coalition (ICC) filed an objection to the proposed Standby Tariff on January 16, 2007. The ICC consists of three large industrial customers of IPL: Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Equistar Chemicals, L.P. The ICC raised several objections and asked for a clarification.

In order to allow the Board, the ICC, and other interested persons time to fully consider the proposed Standby Tariff, the tariff was docketed as a formal contested

case proceeding, identified as Docket No. TF-06-336, by order issued January 23, 2007. However, a procedural schedule was not set at that time in order to allow the parties time to review IPL's responses to additional information that the Board required in its order. The parties were also given time to engage in discovery and discussions to see if the parties could resolve some or all of their apparent differences. The Board required the parties to submit a joint report on or before March 15, 2007.

IPL, ICC, and the Consumer Advocate Division of the Department of Justice (Consumer Advocate) submitted a joint report on March 15, 2007. The parties indicated that after reviewing the additional information filed by IPL, three issues or clarifications remained. The parties said they had reached agreement on two of these, but not the third. The joint report reflected the parties' agreements, clarifications, and understandings.

The first clarification for which there was agreement relates to IPL's proposed 40 percent facility power requirements for supplementary service in proposed Tariff Sheet 76. The parties agreed to additional tariff language to clarify that the 40 percent limitation ensures the equalized prices are applicable only to incremental load additions on the IPL system and not to a transfer of load from base tariff rates.

The second clarification agreed to by the parties addresses the application of the interruptible rider. The parties proposed additional tariff language to clarify that the existing interruptible program is not being expanded, since IPL will be treating

customers receiving non-transmission voltage level supplementary service through the proposed tariff in parity with customers receiving supplementary service through base Large General Service tariff rates.

There was no agreement on the final clarification or issue. The parties were unable to reach agreement on IPL's proposed minimum billing demand of 40,000 kW for transmission voltage level supplementary service. The parties asked for additional time to negotiate their final issue. The additional time was granted by Board order issued April 2, 2007; the order required the parties to submit a second joint report on or before April 17, 2007.

On April 17, 2007, the parties filed the second joint report. The report stated the parties were unable to reach agreement regarding the minimum billing demand. However, the parties said that an evidentiary hearing on the issue was not necessary and they asked the Board to set a briefing schedule that incorporates simultaneous briefs for resolution of the issue.

The Board will set a briefing schedule. At this point, the Board does not have questions that would necessitate a hearing. Parties will be required to file initial briefs on or before June 8, 2007. Reply briefs may be filed on or before June 25, 2007. If after reviewing the briefs the Board believes additional procedures are necessary to resolve the standby tariff changes, the Board will notify the parties.

In addition to the briefs, IPL shall file with its initial brief proposed tariff language that incorporates the changes agreed to in the first joint report. The

proposed tariff language is also to incorporate a clarification that was made in one of IPL's responses to the Board's questions. IPL indicated in one response that the standby tariff would apply only to Large General Service and Bulk Power customers. Tariff sheet 76 needs to be revised to reflect this limitation by stating "Availability. Applicable to power and light requirements of Large General Service and Bulk Power Customers having their own generating facilities . . ." (new clarifying language underlined). By requiring the proposed tariff changes to be filed with IPL's initial brief, parties will have an opportunity to comment in their reply briefs if they disagree with any of the changes that are intended to reflect their agreement.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. Parties shall file initial briefs on or before June 8, 2007. IPL's filing shall include proposed tariff changes identified in this order.
2. Parties shall file reply briefs on or before June 25, 2007.

UTILITIES BOARD

/s/ John R. Norris

/s/ Curtis W. Stamp

ATTEST:

/s/ Judi K. Cooper
Executive Secretary

/s/ Krista K. Tanner

Dated at Des Moines, Iowa, this 27th day of April, 2007.