

STATE OF IOWA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UTILITIES BOARD

IN RE: ENVIROGAS LP	DOCKET NO. P-861
----------------------------	------------------

SECOND ORDER REGARDING CORRECTED EXHIBIT C

(Issued November 2, 2005)

At the hearing in this case held on October 20, 2005, EnviroGas LP (EnviroGas) agreed to file a corrected petition Exhibit C to change the "maximum allowable operating pressure" (MAOP) from 102 pounds per square inch gauge (psig) to 100 psig and to correct the pipe specification from "ASTM D-2513-93" to "ASTM D-2513." "Maximum allowable operating pressure" and the pipe specification each appear in three places in petition Exhibit C.

On October 24, 2005, EnviroGas filed its corrected petition Exhibit C. On this corrected petition Exhibit C, EnviroGas changed the "maximum actual operating pressure" from 80 psig to 100 psig on the three pages where it appeared on the exhibit, and did not correct the "maximum allowable operating pressure." EnviroGas corrected the pipe specification as it agreed to do. The change of the "maximum actual operating pressure" value from 80 to 100 psig was a mistake.

On October 26, 2005, the undersigned ordered EnviroGas to file another corrected petition Exhibit C to make the following changes. EnviroGas was ordered

to correct both "maximum actual operating pressure" and "maximum allowable operating pressure," each of which was listed in three places on petition Exhibit C.

On November 1, 2005, EnviroGas again filed its corrected petition Exhibit C. EnviroGas corrected the "maximum actual operating pressure" and "maximum allowable operating pressure" values as required. However, EnviroGas also changed the pipe specification back to "ASTM D-2513-93" on the first page of the exhibit. This is now incorrect. Therefore, EnviroGas must again file a corrected petition Exhibit C to change the pipe specification back to "ASTM D-2513" on the first page of the exhibit.

In addition, EnviroGas changed Note 2 that is attached to the exhibit. Prior to this change, Note 2 contained the calculation showing how the design MAOP was determined. EnviroGas removed this calculation and substituted the following sentence as new Note 2: "In accordance with section 192.619, the maximum post construction test pressure applies." This sentence is correct and should be left in Note 2 to explain why the MAOP is 100 psig, not 102 psig. However, petition Exhibit C requires that the calculations showing how the MAOP was determined must be attached. Therefore, when it files its corrected petition Exhibit C, EnviroGas must add the calculations back into Note 2 that were removed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

EnviroGas must file another corrected petition Exhibit C with the changes made as discussed in the body of this order.

UTILITIES BOARD

/s/ Amy L. Christensen
Amy L. Christensen
Administrative Law Judge

ATTEST:

/s/ Judi K. Cooper
Executive Secretary

Dated at Des Moines, Iowa, this 2nd day of November, 2005.